	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/617,603	DAUTELLE, JEAN-MARIE R.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>			
(1) <u>Tanh Q. Nguyen</u> .	(3)			
(2) Kermit Robinson (Reg. No. 48,734).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 1 September 2006	Time: <u>various</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 101/112 rejections		·		
Claims discussed: 1, 23, 37				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance	
			:	
Mylly and Sephider 1, 2006_ (Exempher/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Sig	onature – if appro	opriate)	

Aр	plicat	ion	No.	10/617	,60	13
----	--------	-----	-----	--------	-----	----

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner contacted Mr. Robinson, the attorney for applicant, to propose amendments to claims 1, 23, 37 to clarify the scope of the claims, address 101/112 issues in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Robinson is receptive to the proposed amendment, but prefers to receive an office action to consider the examiner's response.

TQN September 1, 2006